

# **Recovery-Oriented Outcomes Associated with Long-Acting Injectable Antipsychotics**

### Kei Yoshimatsu, M.D., Melanie Thomas, M.D., M.S., Alexandra Ballinger, B.A., Steven Wozniak, M.D., James Dilley, M.D., Christina Mangurian, M.D., M.A.S.

University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco General Hospital, and South of Market Mental Health Services

### Background

- Previous research has shown that long-acting injectable antipsychotics (LAIs) are preferable to their oral equivalents (POs) due to:
- Increased medication adherence
- Decreased episodes of psychiatric decompensation
- Reduced overall cost of care in patients with psychotic illnesses
- Growing literature supports the effectiveness of LAIs in community mental health settings:
- Evidence in patients with co-morbid substance use
- Evidence in patients who are incarcerated or struggling with homelessness
- However, there is a knowledge gap about whether LAIs affect change in patients' recovery-oriented goals such as employment, housing, and relationships

### Objectives

- Assess change in levels of psychosocial functioning among patients who have been adherent to LAIs in a safety-net urban community-based mental health system
- Address knowledge gap about whether LAIs affect change in patients' recovery-oriented goals

### Methods

- Study type Retrospective cohort study using longitudinal data extracted from county electronic medical and pharmacy record systems
- **Participants** Patients seen in the county behavioral health system during the calendar year of 2015 who were adherent to LAI for greater than 12 months, with no treatment gaps lasting longer than 90 days (see Figure 1 for details of exclusion criteria)
- Setting Urban county mental health system encompassing outpatient, inpatient, and jail settings with large prevalence of homelessness and substance use
- Measure Primary outcome is the change in Adults Strengths and Needs Assessment (ANSA) scores, an evidenced-based, clinically-validated tool that measures psychosocial functioning (see Table 1). Secondary outcome is improvement in specific problem domains as identified by a 2 or 3 on a Likert scale of 0 to 3 in the initial PO ANSA score (see Figure 3).
- Analysis Chi-square and paired t-tests comparing means of ANSA items on POs versus LAIs examining withinsubject changes in ANSA scores before and after LAI treatment





Table 1. Patient Demographics					Table 3. Changes in ANSA scores from			
	LAI Sample	County Sample	<b>χ</b> <sup>2</sup>	p		PO Mean	LAI Mean	<i>t</i> -va
Total	77	24,577				<u>(SD)</u>	<u>(SD)</u>	<u>(df)</u>
Average Age (years)	46	47			Anxiety	1.29 (.88)	1.23 (.76)	.59
Gender			2.21	.331	Depression	1.35 (.79)	1.30 (.76)	.36
Male	51 (66%)	14.239 (58%)	.9		Psychosis	2.06 (.75)	1.94 (.71)	1.45
Female	26 (34%)	10.317 (42%)	12		Interpersonal problems	.99 (1.04)	.74 (.88)	2.11
Paca/Ethnicity	20 (0470)		25.65	~ 001*	Impulse control	1.36 (1.02)	1.17 (.85)	1.56
			25.05	< .001	Trauma adjustment	.88 (.90)	.88 (.80)	.00
Asian/Pacific Islander	24 (31%)	4,043 (16%)	10.1		Physical/medical health	1.09 (.89)	1.14 (.76)	48
Black/African descent	22 (29%)	5,078 (21%)	2.3		Family functioning	1.21 (.97)	1.21 (.73)	.00
White/Middle Eastern	14 (18%)	8,606 (35%)	6.2		Living skills	1.61 (.91)	1.49 (.89)	1.01
Latino	13 (17%)	2,953 (12%)	1.5		Social functioning	1.71 (.87)	1.49 (.94)	1.71
Other / Unknown	4 (5%)	3,897 (16%)	5.5		Residential stability	1.36 (1.07)	1.10 (1.11)	1.85
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , ,			Employment	1.46 (.95)	1.58 (.99)	40
					Danger to self	.65 (.70)	.66 (.60)	15
Table 2 Clinical Characteristics					Danger to others	.51 (.53)	.59 (.61)	-1.1
					Grave disability	.92 (.85)	.77 (.75)	1.37
Sobizophronia LAI Samp					Self-injurious behavior	.43 (.67)	.45 (.61)	15
Schizophrenia $44 (57\%)$			)		Exploitation	.45 (.82)	.63 (.85)	-1.8
$\frac{30000}{23} = \frac{23}{300} = \frac$			)		Criminal behavior	.74 (.97)	.47 (.50)	2.91
Linspecified schizophrenia spectrum 2 (2%)					Medication adherence	1.23 (.85)	.85 (.68)	3.12
onspecified scritzophilerita specifiulit, $2 (3\%)$ other psychotic disorders					Cultural stress	.70 (.92)	.61 (.71)	.87
					Substance use	1.04 (1.11)	1.01 (1.06)	.24
				Recovery stage	1.92 (.98)	1.74 (.88)	.94	
Average LAI Adherence (years)2.21					Substance use severity	1.66 (.99)	1.71 (.84)	33
LAI Agent					Optimism	1.56 (.79)	1.40 (.85)	1.38
Paliperidone - 1 month 40 (52%)		)		Community connection	1.89 (.85)	1.65 (.83)	1.79	
Haloperidol 18 (23%)		)		Spiritual/religious	1.96 (1.00)	1.59 (1.14)	2.20	
Aripiprazole 15 (20%		)		Recovery involvement	1.53 (.90)	1.42 (.85)	.88	
Risperidone3 (4%)				Nota *n < 05 **n < 005				
Fluphenazine 1 (1)								

### Discussion

### Key take home points:

- Patients on LAIs show significant improvements in domains of interpersonal problems, social functioning, residential stability, criminal behaviour, medication adherence, community connection, and spiritual/religious domains
- 100% of patients with problems in criminal behaviour showed improvements on LAIs. Similarly, 83% of patients with problems in grave disability improved and 75% of patients with problems in dangerousness-to-self improved
- In our LAI sample a greater proportion of patients identified as Asian/Pacific Islander and Black/African descent compared to the overall county behavioural health population.

### Limitations:

- Large exclusion of patients due to incomplete documentation, a common challenge in community-based mental health services research
- Uncontrolled design of who was transitioned from PO to LAIs
- Future directions include more robust, randomized controlled trials of LAIs versus POs in their associations with improvements in psychosocial functioning



ZUCKERBERG SAN FRANCISCO GENERAL Hospital and Trauma Center



P5-048

### PO to LAI

<u>le</u>	<u>p (one-</u> tailed)					
74)	.278					
·/ 71)	.358					
(76)	.075					
(73)	.019*					
(75)	.061					
(° ° ) (8)	.500					
76)	.685					
72)	.500					
(75)	.157					
(76)	.045*					
(76)	.034*					
25)	.653					
, 76)	.560					
(75)	.862					
(74)	.087					
68)	.559					
(70)	.963					
(73)	.002**					
(73)	.001**					
70)	.195					
, 72)	.402					
38)	.176					
37)	.628					
(76)	.085					
(74)	.039*					
(48)	.016*					
75)	.190					

### Figure 3. Improvements on Actionable ANSA Items

# PSYCHOSOCIAL FUNCTIONING CHANGES ON LAI

Improvement: reduction in ANSA item score that was originally given a score of 2 or 3



## CRIMINAL BEHAVIOR

100% of patients showed improvements (13/13)



# **GRAVE DISABILITY** 83% of patients showed

improvements (12/15)



### DANGER TO SELF 75% of patients showed improvements (4/6)